

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/069,685	RUPAREL, KETAN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kenneth R. Coulter	2141	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kenneth R. Coulter.

(2) Margaret Cardoso (secretary for Stephen Gurey).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 19 January 2007

**Time:** 8:25 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) Kenneth R. Coulter (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner attempted to contact Applicant's representative, Stephen Gurey, on 1/18/07. Applicant's representative was out of his office due to illness. Examiner was instructed (by voice mail at Mr. Gurey's number) to contact Mr. Gurey's secretary, Margaret Cardoso. Examiner inquired about the status of the present Application since no response has been received to the office action mailed 7/17/06. Margaret Cardoso indicated that no response has been filed, since the office action mailed 7/17/06 has not been received by Applicant's representative. A courtesy copy of the office action mailed 7/17/06 was faxed to Applicant's representative.